

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/526,173	03/15/2000	lsao Imamura	1714.0029	9971	
5514	7590 04/25/2002			_	
FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO			EXAM	EXAMINER	
	30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA NEW YORK, NY 10112			TUGBANG, ANTHONY D	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3729		
•			DATE MAILED: 04/25/2002	!	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·•		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		09/526,173	IMAMURA, ISAO		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
		Dexter Tugbang	3729		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address		
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period reto reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing department adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	. 136(a). In no event, however, may a rep ply within the statutory minimum of thirty ( d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH te, cause the application to become ABAI	ly be timely filed  30) days will be considered timely.  IS from the mailing date of this communication.  NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
1) 🖾	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11	February 2002			
2a)□		his action is non-final.			
3)	Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice unde	vance except for formal matte			
Dispositi	on of Claims	· List paints quayito, 1000 Cie.	,		
4) 🖾	Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application	1.			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) $\underline{\textit{7}}$ is/are withdrawn f	rom consideration.			
5) 🗌	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-6 is/are rejected.				
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.			
Applicati	on Papers				
9)🛛 .	The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.			
10) 🗌 -	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ acco				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the				
11) 📙 -	The proposed drawing correction filed on		approved by the Examiner.		
.a. 🗆 :	If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	• •			
	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer.			
	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
1	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).		
a)[	☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:				
	1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No				
* 5	3. Copies of the certified copies of the pricapplication from the International Base the attached detailed Office action for a lis	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	•		
	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domes				
a	☐ The translation of the foreign language pr	rovisional application has bee	en received.		
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	stic priority under 35 U.S.C. §	§ 120 and/or 121.		
Attachment	• •	" <b>.</b>			
2) 🔲 Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Inf	mmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)		

Art Unit: 3729

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

- 1. Applicant's election without traverse of the invention of Group I, Claims 1-6, in Paper No. 6 is acknowledged.
- 2. Claim 7 is withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in Paper No. 7.

### Specification

- The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because of the use of implied language, i.e. "The present invention..." (first line of the Abstract). Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).
- 4. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

5. The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). Correction of the following is required: the claimed terms of "first active energy setting material" (line 7 of Claim

Application/Control Number: 09/526,173 Page 3

Art Unit: 3729

1) and "ink-repellant second active energy setting material" (line 9 of Claim 1) is not recited in the specification.

6. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

The following title is suggested: A Method of Manufacturing an Ink-Jet Recording Head.

### Claim Objections

7. Claims 2-6 are objected to because of the following informalities: the preamble of dependent Claims 2-6 is inconsistent with the preamble of independent Claim 1. The examiner suggests amending each of Claims 2-6 to read as –The method of manufacturing the ink-jet recording head according to claim 1...--, to avoid such inconsistencies. Appropriate correction is required.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

8. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the

subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

9. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In Claim 1, it is unclear from the disclosure what is meant by the phrase of "removing said liquid path pattern" (line 16). The claimed "liquid path pattern" is referred to as the

Art Unit: 3729

photosensitive layer 8 in applicant's Figure 2. However, applicant's Figure 4 shows only a portion of the photosensitive layer 8 being removed, not all of layer 8 being removed. The limitations of "removing said liquid path pattern" implies that the entire liquid path pattern is being removed. This is contradictory, misleading, and confusing rendering the scope of the claims as being indefinite.

In Claim 2, the recitation of "an applying method...setting material" (lines 2-3) implies that there is an additional step of applying the ink-repellant second active energy setting material, separate from the step of "applying an ink-repellant...material" (lines 9-10 of Claim 1). How many times is the ink-repellant second active energy setting material being applied? Also, the phrase "said fine particles" (line 4) lacks positive antecedent basis.

In Claims 3 and 4, the same problems occur with the recitation of "an applying method...setting material" (lines 2-3 of each) as discussed with Claim 2 above.

In Claims 5 and 6, the phrase of "the cationic polymerization" (line 3 of each) lacks positive antecedent basis.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

10. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 11. Claims 1, 2, 4 and 5, as best understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Miyagawa et al 5,458,254.

Art Unit: 3729

Miyagawa discloses a method of manufacturing an ink jet recording head comprising: preparing a base plate 1 having ink ejection pressure generating elements 2 (in Fig. 1); forming a liquid path pattern 4 on the base plate with the use of a soluble resin (see col. 9, lines 50-55); applying a first active energy setting material (resin film 5) on the base plate and the liquid path pattern (see Fig. 3); applying an ink-repellent second energy active setting material (resist 6) on the first active energy setting material (see Fig. 4); exposing the first active and the ink-repellent second active energy setting materials (see Fig. 5); developing the first active and the ink-repellent second active energy setting materials with an aqueous solution (see col. 16, lines 41-46) to form an ejection port 7 above the ink ejection pressure generating elements 2 (see Fig. 6); and removing the liquid path pattern 4 in its entirety (see results of Figs. 6 and 7).

Regarding Claims 2 and 4, Miyagawa teaches that the ink-repellent second energy active setting material 6 is sprayed by fine particles of spin coating, which includes a drying process of either sputtering, vacuum deposition, or hardening through baking, to apply the ink-repellent second energy active setting material (see col. 11, lines 40-56) on the base plate.

Regarding Claim 5, Miyagawa further teaches that the first active energy setting material 5 can include the composition of an epoxy resin (see col. 10, line 51 to col. 11, line 12).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 12. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 3729

13. Claim 3, as best understood, is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Miyagawa et al in view of Chambers et al 4,429,027.

Miyagawa discloses the claimed manufacturing method as relied upon above, further including that the ink-repellent second energy active setting material 6 is a photoresist mask made from a silicon oxide composition (see col. 16, lines 13-15). However, Miyagawa does not teach that the ink-repellent second energy active setting material is characterized by a flexographic printing method.

Chambers teaches a photoimaging process in which the photoresist or photomask is created directly on the surface to be processed, which simplifies the manufacturing process (see col. 1, line 60 to col. 2, line 5). This photoimaging process is considered to be a flexographic printing method by including the formation of the photoresist or photomask as a flexographic printing plate (see col. 6, lines 24-32).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the ink-repellent second energy active setting material of Miyagawa by utilizing it as a flexographic printing plate, as taught by Chambers, to achieve the same art recognized equivalents of exposing and developing the first active and the ink-repellent second active energy setting materials, which would simplify the overall manufacturing process saving production time and costs.

14. Claim 6, as best understood, is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Miyagawa in view of Yasui et al 4,536,468.

Miyagawa discloses the claimed manufacturing method as relied upon above, further including that the ink-repellent second energy active setting material 6 is a photoresist mask

Art Unit: 3729

made from a silicon oxide composition (see col. 16, lines 13-15). Miyagawa does not teach that the second energy active setting material is an epoxy resin cured by cationic polymerization.

Yasui suggests that photoresists can comprise compositions of either silicon resins or epoxy resins, which are cationic, polymerized compounds (see col. 5, lines 39-53) and provide the advantages of having a photoresist pattern of a very high resolution (see col. 1, lines 4-19).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the second energy active setting material of Miyagawa by forming the material with an epoxy resin, as taught by Yasui, to positively provide a photoresist of second energy active setting material with a high resolution of patterning.

#### Conclusion

- 15. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 16. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dexter Tugbang whose telephone number is 703-308-7599. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday Friday 9:00 am 5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter Vo can be reached on 703-308-1789. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-3590 for regular communications and 703-305-3588 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0858.

Dexter Tugbang Examiner

Art Unit 3729

adt

April 20, 2002